

# Deformations of holomorphic pairs and Scattering diagrams

VERONICA FANTINI

IHES

## Abstract

Scattering diagrams first appear in mirror symmetry [KS] as combinatorial data which prescribe how to reconstruct the mirror manifold. In particular it has been proved that on one hand they encode Gromov–Witten invariants [GPS] and, on the other hand that they govern deformations of the complex structure [CLM]. In [Fan] the author introduced the extended tropical vertex group  $\tilde{V}$  by studying the asymptotic behaviour of certain special solutions to the Maurer–Cartan equation which govern infinitesimal deformations of a semi-flat Calabi–Yau manifold together with a holomorphically trivial vector bundle on it. The main result is that the leading order asymptotics defines naturally a consistent scattering diagram in the new group  $\tilde{V}$ .

## Setting

Let  $B$  be a tropical affine manifold,  $\Lambda$  be a lattice subbundle of the tangent bundle  $TB$  and  $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$  be the dual lattice, which is a subbundle of the cotangent bundle  $T^*B$ .

### SYZ fibration

#### B-model

$\tilde{X} := TB/\Lambda$  is the total space of the torus fibration  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B$  endowed with the one parameter complex structure  $\mathbf{J}_h$ ,  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , induced from the complex structure of  $TB$ .

#### A-model

$X := T^*B/\Lambda^*$  is the total space of the dual torus fibration  $p : X \rightarrow B$ , which is a symplectic manifold with symplectic form  $\omega_h := \hbar^{-1}\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the canonical complex structure on  $X$ .

Let  $E \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be a rank  $r$  holomorphically trivial vector bundle on  $\tilde{X}$  and fix an hermitian metric  $h$  which is constant along the fibers of  $\tilde{X}$ . Moreover, we set  $F_E$  the Chern curvature of the Chern connection  $\nabla^E$  of  $(E, h, \bar{\partial}_E)$ .

## Deformations of $(E, \tilde{X})$

Let  $\mathbf{A}(E) := \text{End } E \oplus T^{1,0}\tilde{X}$  be the holomorphic bundle on  $\tilde{X}$ , endowed with the complex structure  $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}(E)} := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_E & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\mathbf{B}\varphi := \varphi \lrcorner F_E$  for every  $\varphi \in \Omega^p(\tilde{X}, T^{1,0}\tilde{X})$ . The symbol  $\lrcorner$  denotes the contraction of forms with vector fields. The Kodaira–Spencer DGLA which governs infinitesimal deformations of the pair  $(E, \tilde{X})$  is

$$\text{KS}(E, \tilde{X}) := (\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{A}(E)), \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}(E)}, [\cdot, \cdot])$$

where the Lie bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \Omega^{0,p}(\mathbf{A}(E)) \times \Omega^{0,q}(\mathbf{A}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,p+q}(\mathbf{A}(E))$$

is defined as follows

$$[(A, \varphi), (A', \psi)] := ([A, A'] + \varphi \lrcorner \nabla^E A' + (-1)^{pq} \psi \lrcorner \nabla^E A, [\varphi, \psi])$$

Infinitesimal deformations of the pair  $(E, \tilde{X})$  are  $(A, \varphi) \in \Omega^{0,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{A}(E))[[t]]$  which are solutions of **Maurer–Cartan equation**

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}(E)}(A, \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}[(A, \varphi), (A, \varphi)] = 0 \quad [\text{MC}]$$

up to gauge equivalence, where the action of gauge group is defined by  $h \in \Omega^0(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{A}(E))[[t]]$

$$\exp(h) * (A, \varphi) := (A, \varphi) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\text{ad}_h^k}{(k+1)!} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{A}(E)} h + [(A, \varphi), h])$$

## Scattering Diagrams in the Extended Tropical Vertex

Let  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$  be the ring of formal power series in the parameter  $t$ . Elements of  $n \in \Lambda^*$  can be regarded as derivations  $\partial_n \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}[\Lambda])$  where  $\partial_n z^m := \langle n, m \rangle z^m$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  be the Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} := \bigoplus_{m \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \mathbb{C} z^m (t \cdot (\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C}) \oplus m^\perp)) \subset ((t)\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[t]]) \oplus ((\mathbb{C}[\Lambda] \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[t]])(t) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^*)$$

$$[(Az^m, z^m \partial_n), (A'z^{m'}, z^{m'} \partial_{n'})] := ([A, A']_{\mathfrak{gl}} z^{m+m'} + A' \langle n, m' \rangle z^{m+m'} - A \langle n', m \rangle z^{m+m'}, z^{m+m'} \partial_{\langle n, m' \rangle m' - \langle n', m \rangle n})$$

where  $m^\perp \in \Lambda^*$  denotes  $\partial_n$  with the unique primitive vector  $n \in \Lambda^*$  such that  $\langle n, m \rangle = 0$  and it is positively oriented with respect to  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . The **extended tropical vertex group**  $\tilde{V} := \exp(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$  is a subgroup of  $GL(r, \mathbb{C}[\Lambda][[t]]) \times \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}(\mathbb{C}[\Lambda][[t]])$  with the BCH product.

**Scattering diagrams** are defined as a collection of *walls*  $\mathfrak{w}_i = (\mathfrak{d}_i, \theta_i)$ :

- $\mathfrak{d}_i$  is either a *ray* ( $\mathfrak{d}_i = \xi_0 + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} m_i$ ) or a *line* ( $\mathfrak{d}_i = \mathbb{R} m_i$ ), where  $m_i \in \Lambda$  and  $\xi_0 \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ ;
- $\theta_i \in \tilde{V}$ , such that  $\log \theta_i := \sum_{ij} (A_{jk} z^{km} t^j, a_{jk} z^{km} t^j \partial_n) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

Moreover, for every  $N > 0$  there are finitely many walls with  $\theta_i \neq 1 \pmod{t^N}$ .

The above definition is modelled to definition of scattering diagrams of [GPS], where the tropical vertex is replaced by  $\tilde{V}$ . Given a generic loop  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  the *path ordered product*  $\Theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}}$  is defined as the product of the  $\theta_i$  according to the order of the path  $\gamma$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a **consistent scattering diagram** if for every generic loop  $\gamma$ ,  $\Theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}} = \text{id}_{\tilde{V}}$ .

**Theorem ([KS]).** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a scattering diagram. There exists a unique minimal scattering diagram  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$  consists only of rays, and it is consistent.*

## Main Result

**Theorem ([Fan]).** *Let  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2\}$  be a scattering diagram with non parallel walls. Then the consistent scattering diagram  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}$  can be computed from the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of MC equation.*

*Proof:*

We briefly sketch the proof of the main result:

►  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}})$  is a Lie sub-algebra of  $(\Omega^0(\mathbf{A}(E)), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{KS}})$ , in the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transform acting on the fibers of  $\tilde{X}$  then  $\mathfrak{w}^m := \mathcal{F}(e^{2\pi i(m, z)}) \in \Omega^0(U)$  and  $\partial_n := \mathcal{F}(\bar{\partial}_n) \in \Omega^0(TB)$  where  $\bar{\partial}_n \in \Omega^0(T^{1,0}\tilde{X})$ . In addition  $z^m$  gets replaced by  $\mathfrak{w}^m$  in the definition of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

Furthermore,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}}$  is the leading order term in  $\hbar$  of  $\mathcal{F}([\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\cdot), \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\cdot)]_{\text{KS}})$ .

►  $\mathfrak{D} \rightsquigarrow \Pi := \Pi_1 + \Pi_2$ , where  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2$  are solutions of MC respectively supported on  $\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2$ , i.e. for  $i = 1, 2$  there exists a unique gauge  $h_i$  so that  $\Pi_i = \exp(h_i) * 0$  and  $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} h_i = \log(\theta_i)$  on the upper half plane above  $\mathfrak{d}_i$  and it vanishes otherwise.

►  $\Phi := \Pi + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^2} \Phi^{(a)}$  is a solution of MC. Furthermore for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^2$   $\Phi^{(a)}$  is a solution of MC supported on a ray of slope  $m_a := a_1 m_1 + a_2 m_2$ , namely there is a unique  $h_a$  such that  $\exp(h_a) * 0 = \Phi^{(a)}$ .

►  $\Phi \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\infty} := \mathfrak{D} \cup \{\mathfrak{w}_a = (\mathfrak{d}_a, \theta_a)\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{d}_a := m_a \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\log \theta_a := \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} h_a$

►  $\Theta_{\gamma, \mathfrak{D}_{\infty}} = \text{id}_{\tilde{V}}$  which is proved by a monodromy argument.

## Conclusion

\* *On the B-side*, our main result shows the relation between scattering diagrams in  $\tilde{V}$  and the asymptotic behaviour of infinitesimal deformations of  $(E, \tilde{X})$ .

\* *On the A-side*, in [Fan2] the author proves that certain genus zero Gromov–Witten invariants for toric surfaces relative to their toric boundary divisor, can be computed from consistent scattering diagrams in  $\tilde{V}$ . However, we do not have a complete description of the enumerative contributions coming from the mirror of  $(E, \tilde{X})$ .

\* Finally, in [Fan] the author proves that scattering diagrams in  $\tilde{V}$  have *applications in physics* with 2d-4d wall crossing formula.

## References

- [CLM] K.Chan, N.C.Leung and Z.N.Ma, Scattering diagrams from asymptotic analysis on Maurer–Cartan equations, (2019), arXiv:1807.08145.
- [Fan] V.Fantini, Deformations of holomorphic pairs and 2d-4d wall-crossing, (2019), arXiv:1912.09956.
- [Fan2] V.Fantini, The extended tropical vertex group, *PhD thesis*, SISSA, (2020).
- [GPS] M.Gross, R.Pandharipande and B.Siebert, The tropical vertex, *Duke Math. J.* 153 (2010), no. 2, 297-362.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces, *The unity of mathematics*, Progr. Math., vol. 244, Birkhauser Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 321-385.